arXiv:math/0601237v4 [math.AP] 6 Oct 2007

Solutions of mKdV in classes of functions unbounded at infinity

T. Kappeler[*], P. Perry[†], M. Shubin[‡] and P. Topalov

Abstract

In 1974 P. Lax introduced an algebro-analytic mechanism similar to the Lax L-A pair. Using it we prove global existence and uniqueness for solutions of the initial value problem for mKdV in classes of smooth functions which can be unbounded at infinity, and may even include functions which tend to infinity with respect to the space variable. Moreover, we establish the invariance of the spectrum and the unitary type of the Schrödinger operator under the KdV flow and the invariance of the spectrum and the unitary type of the impedance operator under the mKdV flow for potentials in these classes.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 34A12, 35053, 37K40

Keywords: KdV, modified KdV, spectra of Schrödinger operators

1 Introduction

The purpose of this work is to solve the *modified Korteweg - de Vries equation* (mKdV) on the line

$$r_t - 6r^2 r_x + r_{xxx} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$r|_{t=0} = r_0 \tag{2}$$

in various classes of smooth functions (possibly) unbounded at $+\infty$ and/or $-\infty$. Equation (1) is closely related to the celebrated Korteweg - de Vries equation (KdV),

$$q_t - 6qq_x + q_{xxx} = 0 \tag{3}$$

and is a model equation for wave propagation.

---

[*] Supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation, and the programme SPECT, and the European Community through the FP6 Marie Curie RTN ENIGMA (MRTN-CT-2004-5652).

[†] Partially supported by NSF-grant DMS-0408419

[‡] Partially supported by NSF-grant DMS-0600196

Let $I = (a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$. For any given $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ denote by $\mathcal{S}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ the linear space of $C^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R})$ functions having asymptotic expansions at $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ (cf. [3])

$$r(t, x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^+(t) x^{\beta_k} \ \text{ as } \ x \to \infty \tag{4}$$

and

$$r(t, x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^-(t)(-x)^{\beta_k} \ \text{ as } \ x \to -\infty \tag{5}$$

where $a_k^\pm \in C^\infty(I)$ and $\beta = \beta_0 > \beta_1 > \ldots$ with $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \beta_k = -\infty$. By definition, the relations (4) and (5) mean that for any compact interval $J \subseteq I$ and any $N \ge 0$, $i, j \ge 0$, there exists a constant $C_{J,N,i,j} > 0$ such that for any $\pm x \ge 1$ and $t \in J$

$$\Big| \, \partial_t^i \partial_x^j \Big(r(t, x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k^\pm(t)(\pm x)^{\beta_k} \Big) \Big| \le C_{J,N,i,j} |x|^{\beta_{N+1} - j}. \tag{6}$$

For an arbitrarily chosen formal series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k^\pm(t)(\pm x)^{\beta_k}$, referred to as a symbol in the theory of pseudodifferential operators, there exists a function $r \in C^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (4) and (5) (see for example [21, Proposition 3.5]). Analogously one defines the linear space $\mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R})$ as the space of functions $r \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ having asymptotic expansions $r(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k^\pm (\pm x)^{\beta_k}$ as $x \to \pm\infty$ where $a_k^\pm$ are given constants, $\beta = \beta_0 > \beta_1 > \ldots$ and $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \beta_k = -\infty$.

In this paper we first prove the following results about the initial value problem (1)-(2).

Theorem 1.1. *For any $\beta < 1/2$ and for any initial data $r_0 \in \mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a solution $r \in \mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ of the initial value problem (1)-(2). The solution r is unique in the class of solutions of (1)-(2) in $\mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the coefficients $a_0^\pm(t)$ in the asymptotic expansion of the solution $r(t, x)$ are independent of t and are equal to the coefficients $a_0^\pm$ in the asymptotic expansion of the initial data r_0.*

Note that the solution r is global in time which will be also the case for all results formulated below.

By the same method of proof we obtain similar results for the larger spaces of functions $\mathcal{O}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ and $o_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ which are (possibly) unbounded at infinity.

Let $I = (a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$. For any given $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ denote by $\mathcal{O}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ the linear space of functions $r(t, x)$ in $C^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R})$ such that for any compact interval $J \subseteq I$ and any $k, l \ge 0$ there exists a constant $C_{J,k,l} > 0$ such that for any $|x| \ge 1$ and any $t \in J$

$$|\partial_t^k \partial_x^l r(t, x)| \le C_{J,k,l} |x|^{\beta - l}.$$

Analogously one defines the linear space $\mathcal{O}_\beta(\mathbb{R})$ as the space of functions $r(x)$ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that for any $l \geq 0$ there exists $C_l > 0$ such that for any $|x| \geq 1$, $|\partial_x^l r(x)| \leq C_l |x|^{\beta - l}$.

We will also consider the following spaces. For any given $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ denote by $o_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ the linear space of functions $r(t,x)$ in $C^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R})$ such that for any compact interval $J \subseteq I$ and any $k, l \geq 0$

$$\partial_t^k \partial_x^l r(t,x) = o(|x|^{\beta - l})$$

uniformly in $t \in J$. In the same way as above one defines the space $o_\beta(\mathbb{R})$. Clearly the following inclusions hold:

$$\mathcal{S}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R}), \quad o_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R}).$$

Theorem 1.2. *For any $\beta < 1/2$ and for any initial data $r_0 \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a global in time solution $r \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ a solution $r \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ of the initial value problem (1)-(2). The solution r is unique in the class of solutions of (1)-(2) in $\mathcal{O}_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$.*

Theorem 1.3. *For any $\beta \leq 1/2$ and for any initial data $r_0 \in o_\beta(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a solution $r \in o_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ of the initial value problem (1)-(2). The solution r is unique in the class of solutions of (1)-(2) in $o_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$.*

Remark 1.4. *Note that for $r_0 \in \mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R})$ with $\beta = \beta_0 > 1/2$, with an asymptotic expansion of the form*

$$r_0(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^+ x^{\beta_k} \quad \textit{as } x \to +\infty$$

with $a_0^+ \neq 0$, no formal solution and therefore no solution of mKdV in $\mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ exists.

Remark 1.5. *In fact, the uniqueness in all Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 holds if we only require $r \in o_{1/2}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ which is the largest class where the existence is claimed in these theorems. So if we only require $r \in o_{1/2}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ and take the initial condition r_0 in $\mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{O}_\beta(\mathbb{R})$ ($\beta < 1/2$) or $o_\beta(\mathbb{R})$ ($\beta \leq 1/2$), then we will automatically have $r \in \mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{O}_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ or $o_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ respectively.*

Results for KdV similar to the ones stated for mKdV in Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 have been obtained in a series of papers [2, 3, 4, 5] – see Appendix B where, for the convenience of the reader, we give a short summary of these results. In fact, we construct our solutions of mKdV with the properties stated in the above theorems by applying to the solutions of [2, 3, 4, 5] an inverse of the Miura map. Recall that the Miura map $r \mapsto B(r) := r_x + r^2$, first introduced in [19], maps smooth solutions of mKdV to smooth solutions of KdV. However, the Miura map is usually neither 1-1 nor onto. This is, for example, the case when B is considered as a map $H^\beta_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \to H^{\beta-1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\beta \geq 0$ [11]. In this case, the preimage of an element in $H^{\beta-1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ is either the empty set, a point or a set homeomorphic to an interval. To describe the preimage $B^{-1}\{B(r)\}$ of $q = B(r)$, note that the positive function $\psi(x) = e^{\int_0^x r(s)ds}$ satisfies

$$-\psi_{xx} + (r_x + r^2)\psi = 0 \tag{7}$$

and is related to r by $r = \psi_x/\psi$. It has been shown in [11] that for $r \in H^{\beta}_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ given with $\beta \geq 0$, any function in the preimage $B^{-1}\{B(r)\}$ arises in this way, i.e. for any $r \in H^{\beta}_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$B^{-1}\{B(r)\} = \{\psi_x/\psi \mid \psi \in H^{\beta}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ positive, satisfying (7)}\}.$$

Given initial data r_0 in the class of functions considered in the theorems above, $q_0 = B(r_0)$ has the growth condition at infinity required by the theorems in [2, 3, 4, 5] to conclude that there exists a unique solution $q(t,x)$ of KdV in the corresponding class with $q(0,\cdot) = q_0$. We then consider the linear evolution equation, introduced by Lax [15] (see also Marchenko [17]),

$$\psi_t(t,x) = Q(t)\psi(t,x) \tag{8}$$

$$\psi(0,x) = e^{\int_0^x r_0(s)ds} \tag{9}$$

where $Q(t)$ is the first-order differential operator,

$$Q(t) := 2q(t,x)\partial_x - q_x(t,x). \tag{10}$$

and prove that there exists a unique, globally (in time) defined solution $\psi(t,x)$, satisfying $\psi(t,x) > 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$-\psi_{xx}(t,x) + q(t,x)\psi(t,x) = 0. \tag{11}$$

The latter identity follows from the commutator relation

$$\dot{L} = [Q, L] + 4q_x L \tag{12}$$

where

$$L(t) := -\partial_x^2 + q(t,x). \tag{13}$$

and $\dot{L} = q_t$. The function

$$r(t,x) := \psi_x(t,x)/\psi(t,x) \tag{14}$$

is then the unique solution of mKdV with $r(0,\cdot) = r_0$ in a class of functions in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfying appropriate growth conditions. It has the claimed properties in each of the settings of Theorem 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3. The pair of operators (Q, L) can be obtained from the classical Lax L-A pair for KdV by division–see section 2 below. We refer to it as a Q-L pair. Such a pair allows us to construct an inverse of the Miura map and, in this way, deduce existence and uniqueness of solutions for (1)-(2) from the corresponding results for KdV.

We also establish the invariance of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator under the KdV flow and the invariance of the spectrum of the impedance operator under the mKdV flow. Consider the Schrödinger operator $L(t) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(t,x)$ where $q(t,x)$ is a solution of the KdV equation in $\mathcal{O}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta \leq 1$ and $I = (a,b)$, $-\infty \leq a < b \leq +\infty$. (By Sears theorem (cf. [1, Chapter II]), for any given $t \in (a,b)$ the operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(t,x)$ with domain $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is essentially self-adjoint. Denote by $L(t)$ its closure.) We will prove the following

Theorem 1.6. *Let $q \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta \leq 1$ be a solution of the KdV equation.*

(i) Then for any $t, t' \in I$

$$\texttt{spec}\, L(t) = \texttt{spec}\, L(t')\,.$$

Moreover, the point spectra of the operators $L(t)$ and $L(t')$ (i.e. the sets of eigenvalues corresponding to L^2-eigenfunctions), coincide and have the same multiplicities.

(ii) If in addition $\beta < 1$ or $q \in o_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta \leq 1$, then for any $t, t' \in I$ the operators $L(t)$ and $L(t')$ are unitarily equivalent. It means that for any $t, t' \in I$ there exists a unitary operator $\Psi(t,t') : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\Psi(t',t)L(t) = L(t')\Psi(t',t)$.

Remark 1.7. *Our method suggests that the operators $L(t)$ and $L(t')$ are unitarily equivalent also in the case when $q \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta \leq 1$. However, the proof of this statement will require an improvement of Theorem 2 in [4].*

In order to prove the first statement of Theorem 1.6 we again use the Q-L formalism, now in an extended form with spectral parameter. For the proof of the second statement we use the classical Lax pair. As a corollary of Theorem 1.6 we deduce that the mKdV flow in $\mathcal{O}_\delta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\delta \leq 1/2$ preserves the spectrum of the impedance operator (see Theorem 5.3). In addition, we prove Theorem 6.2 which states that the evolution corresponding to the linear first-order differential operator $Q_\lambda(s) := (4\lambda + 2q(s,x))\partial_x - q_x(s,x)$, where s is a real parameter between t and t' and λ is the spectral parameter, transforms any complete orthonormal system of generalized eigenfunctions of the operator $L(t)$ to a complete orthonormal system of generalized eigenfunctions of the operator $L(t')$. Moreover, by Corollary 6.6, the solution of the evolution equation involving the third-order differential operator $A(t) := -4\partial_x^3 + 6q(t,x)\partial_x + 3q_x(t,x)$ appearing in the classical Lax pair for KdV, can be obtained in terms of the solution of the first-order evolution equation $\psi_t = Q_\lambda(t)\psi$ with the spectral parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Growing solutions of evolution equations such as KdV and mKdV require the development of new techniques for their study and are of interest by themselves. They recently attracted a lot of attention. In [6], Dubrovin studied Hamiltonian perturbations of the (simplest) hyperbolic equation $u_t + a(u)u_x = 0$ in one space dimension. He conjectured that the behavior of a solution to the perturbed equation near a point where the gradient of the corresponding solution of the unperturbed equation blows up, is *universal.* This means that the behavior is (essentially) independent of the choice of the (generic) Hamiltonian perturbation and of the (generic) solution of the perturbed equation. In fact, he conjectured that the behavior of solutions of the perturbed equations near such points is described by a special smooth globally (in X, T) defined solution $U(X,T)$ of an integrable fourth-order ODE in the variable X which depends on a (real) parameter T. When viewed as function of X and T, $U(X,T)$ satisfies the KdV equation and grows for $X \to \pm\infty$ as $\mp(6|X|)^{1/3}$.

Related work: Beside the works [2, 3, 4, 5], we would like to mention earlier work on unbounded solutions of KdV by Menikoff [18] as well as work of Kenig, Ponce, and Vega [13]. Menikoff showed that for initial data in $o_1(\mathbb{R})$, KdV can be solved in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ whereas Kenig, Ponce, and Vega studied solutions of KdV in special classes of unbounded functions, different from the ones considered in this paper. It was pointed out in [18] that the KdV flow with initial data in $o_1(\mathbb{R})$ preserves the discrete spectrum of the Schrödinger operator $L(t)$. We remark that the Miura map has been used previously to obtain solutions of mKdV from solutions of KdV. In particular, we mention the paper [12] where periodic solutions of low regularity are obtained, and work of Gesztesy–Simon [8] and Gesztesy–Schweiger–Simon [7] for bounded solutions of mKdV. They use techniques similar to those used here to construct solutions of the form (14) to the mKdV equation from solutions $q(t,x)$ of the KdV-equation with $q(t,x)$ and $q_x(t,x)$ bounded in x for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In contrast, we need to consider solutions of KdV which grow at infinity and to derive precise asymptotics for the solutions $\psi(t,x)$ of $-\psi_{xx}(t,x) + q(t,x)\psi(t,x) = 0$. These asymptotics are obtained by studying equation (8).

Equations of the type (12) have also appeared in the context of $2+1$ dimensionsal KdV-type equations. Manakov [16] observed that these latter equations admit a representation of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}(L_2 - \lambda) = [L_2 - \lambda, A] + B(L_2 - \lambda)$$

where L_2, A, and B are a so-called Manakov triple. See [20], [9], [10], and further references in [10] for details.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank A. Its and R. G. Novikov for useful comments on an earlier version of our paper as well as B. Dubrovin who introduced us to his recent work.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, for the convenience of the reader, we describe some properties of Q-L pairs which are mostly well-known.

Suppose that $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ and consider the differential operators $Q(t)$ and $L(t)$ given by (10) and (13), respectively.

Lemma 2.1 *The operators Q and L satisfy the following commutator relation*

$$\dot{L} = [Q, L] + 4q_x L + KdV(q) \tag{15}$$

where $\dot{L} = q_t(t, x)$ and $KdV(q) = q_t - 6qq_x + q_{xxx}$. In particular,

$$KdV(q) = 0 \ \ \textit{iff} \ \ \dot{L} = [Q, L] + 4q_x L. \tag{16}$$

The proof of the lemma is straightforward.

We remark that the operator Q results from formally dividing the operator $A = -4\partial_x^3 + 6q_x\partial_x + 3q_x$ by $L = -\partial_x^2 + q$. In fact, $A = 4\partial_x L + Q$. A similar division of A by $L - \lambda$ results in the operator Q_λ considered in section 6 below.

Assume that $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the KdV equation and that for any $T > 0$ there exists a constant $C_T > 0$ such that for any $|x| \geq 1$ and $t \in [-T, T]$

$$|q(t, x)| \leq C_T |x| \ . \tag{17}$$

Let $\psi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be an eigenfunction of $L(0)$ with eigenvalue 0, i.e.

$$L(0)\psi_0 = 0 \ . \tag{18}$$

Consider the equation

$$\psi_t(t, x) = Q(t)\psi(t, x) \tag{19}$$

$$\psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0. \tag{20}$$

By Lemma A.1, the initial value problem (19)-(20) has a unique solution $\psi(t, x)$ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 2.2. *If $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of KdV satisfying the growth condition (17), and $\psi(t, x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ solves (19)-(20), then*

$$L(t)\psi(t, x) = 0 \ \ \forall t, x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{21}$$

If, in addition, $\psi_0(x) > 0 \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\psi(t, x) > 0 \ \forall x, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi(t, x) := L(t)\psi(t, x)$. It follows from (18) that $\varphi|_{t=0} = 0$. Using Lemma 2.1 and (19) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t &= \dot{L}\psi + L\psi_t \\ &= ([Q, L] + 4q_x L)\psi + LQ\psi \\ &= Q(L\psi) + 4q_x(L\psi) \\ &= 2q\varphi_x + 3q_x\varphi \ . \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Hence $\varphi = \varphi(t, x)$ is a solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t(t, x) &= 2q(t, x)\varphi_x(t, x) + 3q_x(t, x)\varphi(t, x) \\ \varphi|_{t=0} &= 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma A.1 again, we obtain that $\varphi \equiv 0$.

The last statement of the proposition follows immediately from claim (b) of Lemma A.1. □

Proposition 2.3. *Assume that $r \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the initial value problem (1)-(2) for the mKdV equation and define*

$$\rho(t,x) := \rho_0(t) e^{\int_0^x r(t,s)\,ds} \tag{23}$$

with normalizing factor $\rho_0(t)$ given by

$$\rho_0(t) := e^{\int_0^t (2r^3 - r_{xx})|_{(\tau,0)}\,d\tau}. \tag{24}$$

Then $\psi(t,x) := \rho(t,x)$ is a solution of (19)-(20), where $Q(t) = 2q\partial_x - q_x$, $q = r_x + r^2$, and $\psi_0(x) := e^{\int_0^x r_0(s)\,ds}$. If, in addition, $q = r_x + r^2$ satisfies the growth condition (17), then $\rho(t,x)$ is the unique solution of (19)-(20) in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Using that $q = r_x + r^2$, one easily sees that $\rho(t,x)$ satisfies the equation $L(t)\rho = 0$. Differentiating the latter identity with respect to t and using Lemma 2.1 together with the fact that $q = r_x + r^2$ satisfies KdV (cf. [19]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \dot{L}\rho + L\rho_t \\ &= ([Q,L] + 4q_x L)\rho + L\rho_t. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $L(t)\rho = 0$, one then gets

$$0 = -L(Q\rho) + L\rho_t = L(\rho_t - Q\rho). \tag{25}$$

Hence, with $f(t,x) := \rho_t - Q\rho$ one has for any $t, x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$-f_{xx}(t,x) + q(t,x)f(t,x) = 0. \tag{26}$$

A direct computation shows that

$$f(t,0) = 0 \text{ and } f_x(t,0) = 0 \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{27}$$

By the uniqueness of the solutions of (26)-(27) for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we conclude that $f(t,x) \equiv 0$, and therefore $\rho_t = Q\rho$. The uniqueness of the solution ρ follows from Lemma A.1 together with the assumption that $q(t,x)$ satisfies the growth condition (17). ☐

Corollary 2.4. *Assume that $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ solves the KdV equation and satisfies the growth condition (17). Let $\phi, \psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ be two solutions of (19) with initial data $\phi|_{t=0} = \phi_0$ and $\psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0$ respectively where $L(0)\phi_0 = 0$ and $L(0)\psi_0 = 0$. Then the Wronskian $W(\phi,\psi) := \phi\psi_x - \psi\phi_x$ is independent of $t, x \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. As $\phi(t,x)$ and $\psi(t,x)$ satisfy (21) (see Proposition 2.2) we get that the Wronskian W is independent of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Using that $\phi_{xx} = q\phi$ and $\psi_{xx} = q\psi$

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}W_t &= \phi_t\psi_x + \phi(\psi_t)_x - \psi_t\phi_x - \psi(\phi_t)_x \\ &= (2q\phi_x - q_x\phi)\psi_x + \phi(2q\psi_x - q_x\psi)_x - (2q\psi_x - q_x\psi)\phi_x \\ &- \psi(2q\phi_x - q_x\phi)_x \\ &= 0\,.\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.5. *Consider the initial value problem (1)-(2) for the mKdV equation with smooth initial data* $r_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$*. Suppose that the solution* $q = q(t,x)$ *of the KdV equation (3) with the initial data* $q|_{t=0} = q_0 := r_0' + r_0^2$ *is defined globally in time,* $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$*, and satisfies the growth condition (17). Then*

(a) the evolution equation (19)-(20) has a unique, globally defined, positive solution $\psi(t,x) > 0$ *and the function* $r(t,x) = \psi_x(t,x)/\psi(t,x)$ *is a global solution of the mKdV initial value problem (1)-(2);*

(b) if $r_1, r_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ *are solutions of the initial value problem of mKdV (1)-(2) both having* q *as their image with respect to the Miura map* $r \mapsto r_x + r^2$ *(i.e.,* $\forall t, x \in \mathbb{R}$, $r_{1x}(t,x) + r_1^2(t,x) = r_{2x}(t,x) + r_2^2(t,x)$*), then* $r_1 \equiv r_2$*.*

Remark 2.6. *Loosely speaking, statement* (*b*) *of Theorem 2.5 says that whenever KdV has a unique solution within a certain class then mKdV has a unique solution within the corresponding class defined by the Miura map.*

Proof of Theorem 2.5. (*a*) Introduce

$$\psi_0(x) = e^{\int_0^x r_0(s)\,ds}. \tag{28}$$

Clearly, $\psi_0(x) > 0\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. As $q_0 = r_0' + r_0^2$ one obtains from (28) that $L(0)\psi_0 = 0$. By Proposition 2.2, the solution $\psi(t,x)$ of (19)-(20) in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $L(t)\psi(t,x) = 0\ \forall t, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $\psi(t,x) > 0\ \forall t, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the smooth function $r(t,x)$ given by (14). It follows from (28) that $r|_{t=0} = r_0$. Taking into account that $L(t)\psi(t,x) = 0$ one proves by a straightforward calculation that

$$\begin{aligned}mKdV(r) &:= r_t - 6r^2r_x + r_{xxx} \\ &= -(\psi_t\psi_x - \psi\psi_{xt} - 6q\psi_x^2 + 3\psi_{xx}^2 + 4\psi_x\psi_{xxx} - \psi\psi_{xxxx})/\psi^2\end{aligned}$$

(See also formula (7.42) in [7].) Using that $\psi_t = Q\psi$ one gets that $mKdV(r) = 0$. This proves claim (*a*).

Claim (*b*) follows from Proposition 2.3, as the two solutions r_1, r_2 lead to the same operator Q (cf. (10)) and the same initial data ψ_0 (cf. (20)). Indeed, as r_1 and r_2 are solutions of (1)-(2) and $q = r_{1x} + r_1^2 = r_{2x} + r_2^2$ we get from Proposition 2.3 that for $k = 1, 2$,

$$\rho_k(t,x) := \rho_{k,0}(t)e^{\int_0^x r_k(t,s)\,ds} \quad \text{with} \quad \rho_{k,0}(t) := e^{\int_0^t (2r_k^3 - (r_k)_{xx})|_{(\tau,0)}\,d\tau}$$

are solutions of the linear initial value problem (19)-(20) with the same initial data $\psi_0(x) = \rho_k(0,x) = e^{\int_0^x r_0(s)\,ds}$. As q satisfies the growth condition (17) the solution of (19)-(20) is unique and therefore $\rho_1 \equiv \rho_2$. In particular, $r_1 = \frac{\rho_{1x}}{\rho_1} = \frac{\rho_{2x}}{\rho_2} = r_2$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. In the sequel we will need the classes

$$\mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}) := \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}) \,|\, f_x \in \mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})\}\,.$$

where β is a given real number. Note that the operator of integration, $f(t,x) \mapsto \int_0^x f(t,s)ds$, maps $\mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ whereas the operator of differentiation, $f(t,x) \mapsto \partial_x f(t,x)$, maps $\mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Analogously one defines $\mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R})$.

The following Lemma describes the functions from $\mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ in terms of their asymptotics at $\pm\infty$.

Lemma 3.1. *$f \in \mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ and it has an asymptotic expansion for $x \to \pm\infty$ of the form*

$$f(t,x) \sim \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k^\pm(t)\,(\pm x)^{\beta_k+1} + a_*^\pm(t)\log(\pm x) & \text{if} \quad \beta+1 \geq 0 \\[2ex] c^\pm(t) + \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k^\pm(t)\,(\pm x)^{\beta_k+1} & \text{if} \quad \beta+1 < 0 \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

where $\beta = \beta_0 > \beta_1 > \ldots$ with $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \beta_k = -\infty$ and $a_k^\pm$, $a_*^\pm$, and $c_\pm$ are functions of t in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. The same result holds in $\mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R})$.

In particular, if $\beta+1 \geq 0$ then the leading term of the asymptotic expansion of f is $a_0^\pm(t)(\pm x)^{\beta+1}$ (for $\beta > -1$) or $a_*^\pm(t)\log(\pm x)$ (for $\beta = -1$). If $\beta+1 < 0$, the leading term is $c^\pm(t)$ followed by $a_0^\pm(t)(\pm x)^{\beta+1}$. The asymptotic relations should be understood similarly to (4), (5). For example, the first relation in (29) means that for any compact interval $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $i,j \geq 0$, and any $N \geq 0$ with $\beta_N + 1 < 0$, there exists a constant $C_{J,N,i,j} > 0$ such that for any $|x| \geq 1$ and any $t \in J$

$$\Big|\,\partial_t^i \partial_x^j \Big(f(t,x) - \Big(a_*^\pm(t)\log(\pm x) + \sum_{k=0}^N a_k^\pm(t)(\pm x)^{\beta_k+1}\Big)\Big)\Big| \leq C_{J,N,i,j}|x|^{(\beta_{N+1}+1)-j}. \tag{30}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. If $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ has an asymptotic expansion as in (29), then clearly, $f_x \in \mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$, hence $f \in \mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$. Let us prove the converse statement. As the asymptotic expansions for $x \to +\infty$ and $x \to -\infty$ of an element $f \in \mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ are obtained in a similar way let us consider the case $x \to +\infty$ only. First we treat the case where $\beta+1 \geq 0$. By definition, for an element $f \in \mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$, $f_x \in \mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ and hence has an asymptotic expansion

$$f_x \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k^+(t)\, x^{\beta_k} \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty \tag{31}$$

where $\beta = \beta_0 > \beta_1 > \dots$ with $\lim\limits_{k \to \infty} \beta_k = \infty$. Without loss of generality we assume that $\beta_m = -1$ for some $m \geq 0$.[1] Formally, the claimed result is obtained by integrating term by term the right hand side of (31) with respect to the x-variable. In order to make this argument rigorous we argue as follows: For any $N \geq m+1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ consider the quantity

$$Q_N(t,x) := -\chi_+(x) \int_x^{\infty} \Big(f_x(t,s) - \sum_{k=0}^{N} b_k^+(t) s^{\beta_k} \Big)\, ds \tag{32}$$

where $\chi_+(x)$ is a smooth cut-off function with $\chi_+(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 1/2$ and $\chi_+(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 1$. As $f_x \in \mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\beta_{m+1} < -1$ it follows that the improper integral in (32) exists and if $x \geq 1$, $\partial_x Q_N(t,x) = f_x(t,x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N} b_k^+(t) x^{\beta_k}$. Hence, $\partial_x Q_N$ is in $\mathcal{S}_{\beta_{N+1}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$. We claim that Q_N is in $\mathcal{S}_{\beta_{N+1}+1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$. To show this, it remains to estimate $\partial_t^i Q_N(t,x)$. It follows from (31) that for any compact interval $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $i \geq 0$, and $N \geq m+1$, there exists a constant $C_{J,N,i} > 0$ such that for any $x \geq 1$, $t \in J$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^i Q_N(t,x)| &\leq \int_x^{\infty} |\partial_t^i (f_x(t,s) - \sum_{k=0}^{N} b_k^+(t) s^{\beta_k})|\, ds \\ &\leq C_{J,N,i} \int_x^{\infty} s^{\beta_{N+1}}\, ds \\ &\leq C_{J,N,i} \frac{x^{\beta_{N+1}+1}}{|\beta_{N+1}+1|}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Computing the integral in (32) one gets for $x \geq 1$

$$Q_N(t,x) = f(t,x) - \Big(c^+(t) + b_m^+(t) \log x + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq N, k \neq m} \frac{b_k^+(t)}{\beta_k + 1}\, x^{\beta_k + 1} \Big) \tag{34}$$

where

$$c^+(t) := f(t,1) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{b_k^+(t)}{\beta_k + 1} + \int_1^{\infty} \Big(f_x(t,s) - \sum_{k=0}^{m} b_k^+(t) s^{\beta_k} \Big)\, ds\,. \tag{35}$$

(Note that the integral in (35) converges as the integrand is estimated locally uniformly in t by $O(s^{\beta_{m+1}})$ with $\beta_{m+1} < -1$.) The desired estimate (30) of $f(t,x)$ for $x \to +\infty$ follows from (31), (33), and (34).

---

[1] Take $b_m^+(t) \equiv 0$ if necessary.

The case $\beta+1<0$ is treated in a similar way. Actually, it is easier than the case $\beta+1\geq 0$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We will show that the claimed results follow from Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 3.2 stated below, combined with results in [3, 2] - see Appendix B for a summary of these results. Indeed, for a given $r_0\in\mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R})$, the Miura image $q_0:=r_{0x}+r_0^2$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbb{R})$ with $\delta:=\max\{2\beta,\beta-1\}<1$. According to the results in [3, 2] (cf. Theorem B.1, B.2 in Appendix B) there exists a unique solution $q\in\mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ of the KdV equation (3) with initial data $q|_{t=0}=q_0$. As $\delta<1$ the solution $q=q(t,x)$ satisfies the growth condition (17). In particular, according to Proposition 2.2 the linear initial value problem

$$\psi_t(t,x)=2q(t,x)\psi_x(t,x)-q_x(t,x)\psi(t,x) \tag{36}$$

$$\psi|_{t=0}=\psi_0(x):=e^{\int_0^x r_0(s)\,ds} \tag{37}$$

has a unique C^∞-solution. This solution ψ is defined globally in time. It is strictly positive everywhere, and satisfies $-\psi_{xx}+q\psi=0$ $\forall t,x\in\mathbb{R}$. It follows from item (a) of Theorem 2.5 that the function $r(t,x)=\psi_x(t,x)/\psi(t,x)$ is a solution of (1)-(2). It is easy to see that the function

$$p=p(t,x):=\log\psi(t,x)$$

satisfies

$$p_t(t,x)=2q(t,x)p_x(t,x)-q_x(t,x) \tag{38}$$

$$p|_{t=0}=p_0(x) \tag{39}$$

with initial data $p_0(x)=\int_0^x r_0(s)\,ds\in\mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R})$. According to Lemma 3.2 below the function $p(t,x)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ and therefore $r=\partial_x p\in\mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$.

The uniqueness of the solution $r=r(t,x)$ in the class $\mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ follows from the uniqueness of the solution $q=q(t,x)$ in the class $\mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ (cf. Theorem B.2 in Appendix B) and Theorem 2.5 (b). $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 used the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\beta<1/2$ and $\delta:=\max\{2\beta,\beta-1\}<1$. Consider the initial value problem (38)-(39) where $q\in\mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$. Then for any initial data $p_0\in\mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a solution $p\in\mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ of (38)-(39). This solution is unique in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$.*

In order to prove Lemma 3.2 we will first construct formal series $\chi_\pm(t,x)$ having the form (29) and satisfying the evolution equation (38)-(39) formally for $x\to\pm\infty$. As the cases $x\to+\infty$ and $x\to-\infty$ are treated in the same way we restrict our attention only to the case $x\to+\infty$.

Let $p_0\in\mathcal{S}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R})$ be the initial data in (38)-(39). By Lemma 3.1, $p_0(x)$ has an asymptotic expansion for $x\to+\infty$ of the form

$$p_0(x)\sim\begin{cases}\sum_{k=0}^\infty p_k^+x^{\beta_k+1}+p_*^+\log x & \text{if} \quad \beta+1\geq 0\\[2ex] c^++\sum_{k=0}^\infty p_k^+x^{\beta_k+1} & \text{if} \quad \beta+1<0\end{cases}$$

where $\beta_0 := \beta < 1/2$ and $\beta_0 > \beta_1 > ...$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} \beta_k = -\infty$. As a solution p of (38)-(39) gives rise to the 1-parameter family of solutions $p + \texttt{const}$, we can assume without loss of generality that the constant c^+ in the asymptotic expansion for $p_0(x)$ vanishes,

$$p_0(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k^+ x^{\beta_k+1} + p_*^+ \log x \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty\,. \tag{40}$$

Here $\beta < 1/2$ but it need not be true that $\beta + 1 \geq 0$. By assumption, $q \in \mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ and hence it has an asymptotic expansion for $x \to +\infty$ of the form

$$q(t,x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^+(t) x^{\delta_k} \tag{41}$$

where $\delta_0 = \delta := \max\{2\beta, \beta - 1\} < 1$ and $\delta_0 > \delta_1 > ...$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} \delta_k = -\infty$. Consider the set

$$\Delta := \{\delta_k\}_{k\geq 0}.$$

In order to find a *formal* solution $\chi_+(t,x)$ of (38)-(39) we will have to extend the set of exponents $\{\beta_k + 1\}_{k\geq 0}$ appearing in (40) to a larger discrete set $\bar{B}$ with the same upper limit as $\{\beta_k + 1\}_{k\geq 0}$ so that the exponents appearing in the asymptotic expansions of the left- and right-hand side of (38) belong to $\bar{B}$. To construct $\bar{B}$ we first need to extend the set Δ.

Lemma 3.3. *There exists an unbounded discrete set* $\bar{\Delta} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ *with* $\Delta \subseteq \bar{\Delta}$ *such that*

(a) $\max \bar{\Delta} = \max \Delta = \delta < 1$*;*

(b) if $\delta', \delta'' \in \bar{\Delta}$ *then* $\delta' + \delta'' - 1 \in \bar{\Delta}$*;*

(c) if $\delta' \in \bar{\Delta}$ *then* $\delta' - 1 \in \bar{\Delta}$.

Proof. First note that a set $\bar{\Delta}$ satisfies (b) iff $\bar{\Delta} - 1 := \{\delta' - 1 \,|\, \delta' \in \bar{\Delta}\}$ satisfies

$$\delta', \delta'' \in \bar{\Delta} - 1 \quad \text{implies} \quad \delta' + \delta'' \in \bar{\Delta} - 1\,. \tag{42}$$

It is easy to see that the set $\Delta_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Delta_1 := \Big\{ \sum_{i\in J} \delta_i \,|\, \delta_i \in \Delta - 1, J \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ is finite and } J \neq \emptyset \Big\}$$

is discrete, satisfies (42) and that $\max \Delta_1 = \delta - 1$. Consider the set

$$\bar{\Delta}_1 := \{\delta' - k \,|\, \delta' \in \Delta_1, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

Then

$$\delta', \delta'' \in \bar{\Delta}_1 \quad \text{implies} \quad \delta' + \delta'' \in \bar{\Delta}_1;$$

in addition, $\bar{\Delta}_1$ is unbounded and discrete. Moreover $\max \bar{\Delta}_1 = \delta - 1$, and $\delta' - 1 \in \bar{\Delta}_1$ for any $\delta' \in \bar{\Delta}_1$. Hence, the set $\bar{\Delta} := \bar{\Delta}_1 + 1$ satisfies claims (a)-(c) of the lemma. $\square$

We extend in the sum in (41) the set of exponents Δ to $\bar{\Delta}$ by setting the new coefficients in (41) all equal to zero. Hence without loss of generality, one can – and in the sequel we will – assume that the set of the exponents $\Delta = \{\delta_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ in (41) satisfies conditions (a)-(c) of Lemma 3.3.

Let us now introduce the following subsets of $\mathbb{R}$,

$$B := \{\beta_k\}_{k\geq 0}$$

and

$$\bar{B} := \{\beta' + \delta' \,|\, \beta' \in B,\ \delta' \in \Delta\} \cup \Delta \cup \{\beta' + 1 \,|\, \beta' \in B\} \tag{43}$$

Lemma 3.4. *The set $\bar{B}$ is discrete and has the following properties:*

- (i) $\max \bar{B} = \beta + 1$*;*
- (ii) *if $\delta' \in \Delta$ and $\beta' \in \bar{B}$, then $\delta' + \beta' - 1 \in \bar{B}$;*
- (iii) *the set $\{\delta' - 1 \mid \delta' \in \Delta\}$ is contained in $\bar{B}$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.4. The proof that $\bar{B}$ is discrete follows from the arguments used in the proof of Lemma 3.3.
(i) As $\beta < 1/2$ and $\delta = \max\{2\beta, \beta - 1\} < 1$ one gets $\max \bar{B} = \max\{\delta, \beta + \delta, \beta + 1\} = \beta + 1$.
(ii) follows from the fact that Δ has property (b) of Lemma 3.3. Indeed, as any $\beta' \in \bar{B}$ can be written in the form $\beta' = \beta'' + \delta''$ ($\beta'' \in B$, $\delta'' \in \Delta$), $\beta' = \delta''$, or $\beta' = \beta'' + 1$ and as by Lemma 3.3(b), for any $\delta' \in \Delta$, one has $\delta''' := \delta' + \delta'' - 1 \in \Delta$, it follows that

$$\delta' + \beta' - 1 = \begin{cases} \delta' + (\beta'' + \delta'') - 1 = \delta''' + \beta'' \in \bar{B} \\ \delta' + \delta'' - 1 \in \Delta \subseteq \bar{B} \\ \delta' + (\beta'' + 1) - 1 = \delta' + \beta'' \in \bar{B} \end{cases}$$

(iii) It follows from statement (c) of Lemma 3.3 that for any $\delta' \in \Delta$, one has $\delta' - 1 \in \Delta$ and as $\Delta \subseteq \bar{B}$, (c) then follows. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. First we prove that for any

$$q(t,x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k^{\pm}(t)(\pm x)^{\delta_k} \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \pm\infty \tag{44}$$

with exponents $\Delta = \{\delta_k\}_{k\geq 0}$, $\delta_0 = \delta > \delta_1 > \ldots$, satisfying claims (a)-(b) of Lemma 3.3, the initial value problem (38)-(39) with $p_0(x)$ satisfying (40) has a formal solution $\chi_+(t,x)$ given by ($t \in \mathbb{R}, x > 0$)

$$\chi_+(t,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^+(t) x^{\bar{\beta}_k + 1} + a_*^+(t) \log x \tag{45}$$

where $\{\bar{\beta}_k + 1\}_{k\geq 0} = \bar{B}$ with $\bar{\beta}_0 > \bar{\beta}_1 > ....$ The existence of a formal solution $\chi_-(t,x)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x < 0$ follows by the same arguments. Let us stress that the exponents $\beta_k + 1$ in the asymptotic expansion of the initial data $p_0(x)$ (cf. (40)) belong to the set $\{\beta' + 1 \,|\, \beta' \in B\}$ which is included in the larger set $\bar{B}$. Hence, the coefficients $a_k^+(0)$ in the asymptotic expansion (45) evaluated at $t = 0$ are zero or coincide with some of the constants p_k^+. Moreover $a_*^+(0) = p_*^+$.

Substituting (44) and (45) into (38) and using the notation $\dot{} = \frac{d}{dt}$, one obtains, in the case $x > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{a}_*^+(t)\log x + \dot{a}_0^+(t)x^{\beta+1} + \dot{a}_1^+(t)x^{\bar{\beta}_1+1} + \dot{a}_2^+(t)x^{\bar{\beta}_2+1} + ... = \\ = 2\Big(c_0^+(t)x^{\delta} + c_1^+(t)x^{\delta_1} + ...\Big)\Big(a_*^+(t)x^{-1} + \\ (\beta+1)a_0^+(t)x^{\beta} + (\bar{\beta}_1+1)a_1^+(t)x^{\bar{\beta}_1} + ...\Big) \\ -\Big(\delta c_0^+(t)x^{\delta-1} + \delta_1 c_1^+(t)x^{\delta_1-1} + ...\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

The maximal power of x on the right side of (46) is not bigger than $m_r = \max\{\beta+\delta, \delta-1\}$. As $\beta < 1/2$ and $\delta = \max\{2\beta, \beta-1\} < 1$, one obtains that $\beta + 1 > m_r$. Hence, $\dot{a}_0^+(t) = 0$ and thus $a_0^+(t) = a_0^+(0)$. Comparing the coefficients in (46) we also obtain that $\dot{a}_*^+(t) = 0$ and hence $a_*^+(t) = a_*^+(0)$.

Comparing the coefficients in (46) corresponding to terms of order $\bar{\beta}_k + 1$ in x one obtains that for any $k \geq 1$

$$\dot{a}_k^+(t) = P_k^+(a_0^+, a_1^+(t), ..., a_{k-1}^+(t)) + F_k^+(t) \tag{47}$$

where P_k^+ is a linear combination of the variables $a_0^+, ..., a_{k-1}^+$ with coefficients which are smooth functions of $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The term $F_k^+(t)$ is equal to $2c_{i_k}^+(t)p_*^+ - \delta_{i_k}c_{i_k}^+(t)$ iff there exists an index $i_k \geq 0$ such that $\bar{\beta}_k + 1 = \delta_{i_k} - 1$. If there is no such i_k then $F_k^+(t) \equiv 0$. Let us prove formula (47). It is clear from (46) that the right side of (47) is a sum of a linear polynomial of the variables $a_0^+, a_1^+, ...$ and an inhomogeneous term $F_k^+(t)$ of the form described above. Assume that there exists a_n^+, $n \geq k$, that enters as a linear term on the right side of (47). Then clearly there exists $m_n \geq 0$ such that

$$\bar{\beta}_n + \delta_{m_n} = \bar{\beta}_k + 1\ .$$

As $\bar{\beta}_n \leq \bar{\beta}_k$ and $\delta_{m_n} \leq \delta < 1$, it follows that $\bar{\beta}_n + \delta_{m_n} < \bar{\beta}_k + 1$. This contradiction proves (47).

Integrating equation (47), we find the coefficients $a_k^+(t)$ recursively in terms of the initial values $(a_i^+(0))_{0\leq i\leq k}$. Clearly, the formal solution $\chi_+(t,x)$ satisfies (46) and, by construction, $\chi_+(0,x) = p_0(x)$. Arguing similarly we find a formal solution $\chi_-(t,x)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x < 0$.

Next we show how the constructed formal solutions

$$\chi_{\pm}(t,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^{\pm}(t)(\pm x)^{\bar{\beta}_k+1} + a_*^{\pm}(t)\log(\pm x)$$

lead to a solution of (38)-(39). Choose $f(t,x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ so that f has asymptotic expansions of the form

$$f(t,x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^{\pm}(t)(\pm x)^{\bar{\beta}_k+1} + a_*^{\pm}(t)\log(\pm x) \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \pm\infty \tag{48}$$

with coefficients $(a_k^{\pm}(t))_{k\geq 0}$, $a_*^{\pm}(t)$ defined as above. The existence of such a function f follows, for example, from [21, Proposition 3.5]. Following [3, 2] we will call the function $f(t,x)$ an *asymptotic solution* of (38)-(39). Let $f_0 := f|_{t=0}$.

With the help of the asymptotic solution f we want to find a solution $p(t,x)$ of (38)-(39) of the form

$$p(t,x) := f(t,x) + s(t,x) \tag{49}$$

where $s(t,x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ has to be determined so that s and all derivatives $\partial_t^l \partial_x^k s$ are fast decaying as $|x| \to \infty$. Substituting (49) into (38)-(39) one obtains the linear evolution equation

$$s_t(t,x) = 2q(t,x)s_x(t,x) + \eta(t,x) \tag{50}$$

$$s|_{t=0} = s_0(x) \tag{51}$$

where $\eta(t,x) := -f_t(t,x) + 2q(t,x)f_x(t,x) - q_x(t,x)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ (as $f(t,x)$ is an asymptotic solution of (38)-(39)) and $s|_{t=0} = p_0(x) - f_0(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, where as usual, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the functions of Schwartz class. By definition, $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ iff for any compact interval $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and any $k, i, j \geq 0$ there exists a constant $C_{J,k,i,j} > 0$ such that for any $|x| \geq 1$ and $t \in J$

$$|\partial_t^i \partial_x^j g(t,x)| \leq C_{J,k,i,j}|x|^{-k}.$$

In particular, if $g \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ then for any given $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the function $g(t,\cdot)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

Due to Lemma A.3, we can find a solution $s \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ of (50)-(51) which proves the existence part of Lemma 3.2. The uniqueness of the solution $p(t,x)$ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ follows from Lemma A.1. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3

In this section we prove the existence and the uniqueness of solutions of the mKdV equation, globally in time, as stated in Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

Before proving these theorems we introduce the following auxiliary spaces

$$\mathcal{O}_{\beta+1}^*(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}) := \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}) \,|\, f_x \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})\}$$

and

$$o_{\beta+1}^*(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}) := \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}) \,|\, f_x \in o_\beta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We follow the arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Given $r_0 \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(\mathbb{R})$ we get $q_0 := r_0' + r_0^2$ which belongs to the space $\mathcal{O}_\delta(\mathbb{R})$ with $\delta := \max\{2\beta, \beta - 1\} < 1$. By Theorem 2 in [5] (cf. Theorem B.3, Appendix B) there exists a solution $q \in \mathcal{O}_\delta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ of the KdV initial value problem

$$q_t - 6qq_t + q_{xxx} = 0, \quad q|_{t=0} = q_0 \,.$$

As $\delta < 1$ the solution $q(t,x)$ satisfies the growth condition (17). Let $\psi(t,x) > 0$ be the globally defined unique solution of (36)-(37) (see also Proposition 2.2). According to Theorem 2.5 (a) the function $r(t,x) = \psi_x(t,x)/\psi(t,x)$ is a solution of the mKdV initial value problem (1)-(2). Then $p(t,x) := \log \psi(t,x)$ satisfies (38)-(39) with $p_0(x) = \int_0^x r_0(s)\, ds$. As p_0 is in $\mathcal{O}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R})$ the solution $p(t,x)$ of (38)-(39) belongs to $\mathcal{O}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ (cf. Lemma 4.1 below). In particular $r(t,x) = p_x(t,x) \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$.

The uniqueness of the solution $r(t,x)$ constructed above follows from Theorem 2.5 (b) and the uniqueness result for KdV in Theorem 1 in [4] (cf. Theorem B.2, Appendix B). □

In the proof of Theorem 1.2 we used the following analogue of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $\beta < 1/2$ *and* $\delta := \max\{2\beta, \beta - 1\} < 1$. *Consider the initial value problem* (38)-(39) *where* $q \in \mathcal{O}_\delta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$. *Then, for any initial data* $p_0 \in \mathcal{O}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R})$, *there exists a solution* $p \in \mathcal{O}^*_{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ *of* (38)-(39) *which is unique in* $C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. The lemma is proved by the same arguments as the ones used in the proof of Lemma A.3 (see also [5], Proposition 1). □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2 and is based on the existence and uniqueness results for the initial value problem of KdV of [4, 5] (cf. Theorem B.2, B.4 in Appendix B) and on a variant of Lemma 4.1 where the spaces $\mathcal{O}_\beta$ and $\mathcal{O}^*_{\beta+1}$ are replaced by the spaces o_β and $o^*_{\beta+1}$ respectively. □

We conclude this section by stating a more general uniqueness result for the mKdV initial value problem (1)-(2). Let $I = (a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$. Denote by $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ the linear space of functions $r(t,x)$ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ such that for any compact interval $J \subseteq I$ one has for $|x| \ge 1$ and any $k \ge 1$

$$r(t,x) = o(\sqrt{|x|}) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_x^k r(t,x) = O(1/\sqrt{|x|}),$$

uniformly in $t \in J$. The following theorem follows in a straightforward way from Theorem 2.5 (b) and Theorem 1 in [4] (cf. Theorem B.2, Appendix B).

Theorem 4.2. *There exists at most one solution of the mKdV initial value problem (1)-(2) in* $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$.

5 Spectral invariance

In this section, we prove the spectral invariance of the Schrödinger operator $L(t) = -\partial_x^2 + q(t,x)$ under the KdV flow (see Theorem 1.6 stated in the introduction) and the spectral invariance of the impedance operator $T(t) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2r(t,x)\,\frac{d}{dx}$ under the mKdV flow (Theorem 5.3 below).

Schrödinger operator: We prove the two statements of Theorem 1.6 separately, using two different methods. In the case $\beta < 1$, statement (i) follows from statement (ii). We point out that our proof of statement (i) is elementary and self-contained, whereas the proof of statement (ii) relies on a result from [4].

Let $q \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta \leq 1$ and $I = (a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $-\infty \leq a < b \leq +\infty$. Consider the t-parameter family of self-adjoint operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$L(t) := -\partial_x^2 + q(t,x)\,.$$

As for any $t \in I$ there exists a constant $C = C(t) > 0$ such that $|q(t,x)| \leq C\,|x|$ for $|x| \geq 1$, by Sears' theorem (cf. [1, Chapter II]) the symmetric operator $u \mapsto -u'' + q(t)u$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the domain $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is essentially self-adjoint. We define $L(t)$ to be the closure of this operator.

In order to prove Theorem 1.6, we first extend the Q-L formalism to operators with spectral parameter. Let $q \in C^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R})$. For a given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ consider the operators

$$Q_\lambda(t) := (4\lambda + 2q(t,x))\partial_x - q_x(t,x)$$

and

$$L_\lambda(t) := L(t) - \lambda\,.$$

The operators Q_λ and L_λ satisfy the commutator relation

$$\dot{L}_\lambda = [Q_\lambda, L_\lambda] + 4q_x L_\lambda + KdV(q)\,. \tag{52}$$

In particular, $q(t,x)$ is a solution of the KdV equation, $KdV(q) = 0$, if and only if

$$\dot{L}_\lambda = [Q_\lambda, L_\lambda] + 4q_x L_\lambda \tag{53}$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (and hence, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$). Now, assume that $q \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta \leq 1$ is a solution of the KdV equation and let $\psi \in C^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R})$ be the solution of the first-order evolution equation

$$\psi_t(t,x) = (4\lambda + 2q(t,x))\psi_x(t,x) - q_x(t,x)\psi(t,x) \tag{54}$$

$$\psi(t',x) = \psi_0(x)\,. \tag{55}$$

Let $\varphi(t,x) := L_\lambda(t)\psi(t,x)$. Using (53) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_t &= \dot{L}_\lambda\psi + L_\lambda\psi_t \\
&= ([Q_\lambda, L_\lambda] + 4q_x L_\lambda)\psi + L_\lambda Q_\lambda\psi \\
&= Q_\lambda(L_\lambda\psi) + 4q_x(L_\lambda\psi) \\
&= (4\lambda + 2q)\varphi_x + 3q_x\varphi\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\varphi \equiv \varphi(t,x)$ is a solution of the initial value problem (cf. Lemma A.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t(t,x) &= (4\lambda + 2q(t,x))\varphi_x(t,x) + 3q_x(t,x)\varphi(t,x) \\ \varphi|_{t=t'} &= L_\lambda(t')\psi_0 \,. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $q \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta \leq 1$ be a solution of the KdV equation and let J be a compact interval in I. Then there exists a constant $C(J) > 0$ such that for any $t, t' \in J$ and for any $\psi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ the solution ψ of the evolution equation* (54)-(55) *satisfies the inequalities*

$$\|\psi(t)\| \leq C(J)\,\|\psi_0\| \tag{56}$$

and

$$\|L_\lambda(t)\psi(t)\| \leq C(J)\,\|L_\lambda(t')\psi_0\| \,. \tag{57}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Let $\xi(t;t',x_0)$ be the solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{\xi} = -(4\lambda + 2q(t,\xi)) \tag{58}$$

$$\xi|_{t=t'} = x \,. \tag{59}$$

It follows from the equation of variation of (58)-(59) that

$$\xi_x(t;t',x) = e^{-2\int_{t'}^{t} q_x(\tau,\xi(\tau;t',x))\,d\tau} \,. \tag{60}$$

By the method of characteristics we get that for any $t'' \in I$,

$$\phi(t'',\xi(t'';t',x)) = \phi_0(x)\, e^{3\int_{t'}^{t''} q_x(\tau,\xi(\tau;t',x))\,d\tau} \,,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\phi(t'',y) = \phi_0(\xi(t';t'',y))\, e^{3\int_{t'}^{t''} q_x(\tau,\xi(\tau;t'',y))\,d\tau} \,. \tag{61}$$

Assuming that $t', t'' \in J$ for some compact interval J in I, we obtain from (60), (61), and $q \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta \leq 1$ that there exist constants $C(J) > 0$ and $C_1(J) > 0$ such that for any $\phi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(t'')\|^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_0(\xi(t';t'',y))^2\, e^{6\int_{t'}^{t''} q_x(\tau,\xi(\tau;t'',y))\,d\tau}\, dy \\ &\leq C_1(J) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_0(\xi(t';t'',y))^2\, dy \\ &= C_1(J) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_0(x)^2 |\xi_x(t'';t',x)|\, dx \\ &\leq C(J)^2\, \|\phi_0\|^2 \,. \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as above and choosing $C(J) > 0$ larger if necessary, one proves that for any $t'' \in J$ and for any $\psi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ the solution $\psi(t,x)$ of (54)-(55) satisfies

$$\|\psi(t'')\| \leq C(J)\,\|\psi_0\| \,.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proof of statement (i) *of Theorem 1.6.* A point $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the spectrum of the self-adjoint operator $L(t)$ iff there exist a sequence $(\varepsilon_k)_{k\geq 1}$ of positive numbers $\varepsilon_k > 0$ with $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \varepsilon_k = 0$ and a sequence of functions $(\psi_k)_{k\geq 1} \subseteq C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\psi_k \not\equiv 0$, such that for any $k \geq 1$,

$$\|L_\lambda(t)\psi_k\| \leq \varepsilon_k \|\psi_k\| \,. \tag{62}$$

Assume that $\lambda \in \mathtt{spec}\, L(t')$. We will prove that for any $t'' \in I$, $\lambda \in \mathtt{spec}\, L(t'')$. Take (ϵ_k) and (ψ_k) as above so that (62) is satisfied with $t = t'$. Using Lemma 5.1 we get the following estimates for the solution $\psi_k(t,x)$ of equation (54) with initial data $\psi_k|_{t=t'} = \psi_k$

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(t'')\psi_k(t'')\| &\leq C(J)\, \|L(t')\psi_k\| \\ &\leq \epsilon_k C(J)\, \|\psi_k\| \leq \epsilon_k C(J)^2 \|\psi_k(t'')\| \,. \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

As $\epsilon_k C(J)^2 \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ we get from (63) that $\lambda \in \mathtt{spec}\, L(t'')$. As the inclusion $\mathtt{spec}\, L(t') \subseteq \mathtt{spec}\, L(t'')$ was proved for any $t', t'' \in I$, $\mathtt{spec}\, L(t') = \mathtt{spec}\, L(t'')$.

Any eigenfunction of $L(t')$ with eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ coincides up to a set of measure zero with a smooth solution of the differential equation $-\psi_0''(x) + q(t,x)\psi_0(x) = \lambda\psi_0(x)$ such that $\psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let ψ be the solution of the evolution equation (54)-(55). Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.1 one sees that the inequalities (56) and (57) still hold. In particular, we get that for any $t \in I$, $\psi(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L(t)\psi(t) = \lambda\psi(t)$. The coincidence of the multiplicities follows from the uniqueness of solution for the initial value problem (54)-(55). □

Remark 5.2. *In addition to the commutator relation* (52)*, the operators* L_λ *and* Q_λ *satisfy for arbitrary* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ *the identity*

$$L_\lambda(\partial_t - Q_\lambda) + [L_\lambda(\partial_t - Q_\lambda)]^* = -KdV(q)$$

where P^* *denotes the formal adjoint of a differential operator* P *in* $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$*.*

Proof of statement (ii) *of Theorem 1.6.* Assume either $q \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(I\times\mathbb{R})$ with $\beta < 1$ or $q \in o_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta \leq 1$ where $I = (a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $-\infty \leq a < b \leq +\infty$. In addition, assume for simplicity that $t' = 0$. Following Lax [14] we consider the one parameter family of third-order linear differential operators

$$A(t) := -4\partial_x^3 + 6q(t,x)\partial_x + 3q_x(t,x) \tag{64}$$

with t as parameter. The operators $L(t) = -\partial_x^2 + q(t,x)$ and $A(t)$ satisfy the commutator relation

$$\dot{L} = [A, L] + KdV(q)$$

where $KdV(q) = q_t - 6qq_x + q_{xxx}$. Assuming that $q(t,x)$ is a solution of the KdV equation we obtain that $L(t)$ and $A(t)$ satisfy the classical Lax pair relation

$$\dot{L} = [A, L]\,. \tag{65}$$

Consider the linear evolution equation

$$\psi_t(t) = A(t)\psi(t) \tag{66}$$

$$\psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0 \tag{67}$$

with initial data ψ_0 in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. The existence of a solution of (66)-(67) evolving in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ on the whole time interval (a,b) was solved positively in [4] by applying a difference scheme method as in [2, 18]. According to [4, Theorem 2], (66)-(67) has a solution $\psi \in C^1(I, \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}))$.[2] By applying Holmgren's principle one sees that the solution ψ is indeed unique. Denote by $\Psi(t)$ the operator

$$\Psi(t) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \psi_0 \mapsto \psi(t). \tag{68}$$

Using that $A(t)$ is skew-symmetric for any t one gets by integration by parts, that for any $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\Psi(t)\psi_0, \Psi(t)\psi_0\Big) = \Big(A(t)\Psi(t)\psi_0, \Psi(t)\psi_0\Big) + \Big(\Psi(t)\psi_0, A(t)\Psi(t)\psi_0\Big) = 0$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the L^2-scalar product. Hence, the operator (68) preserves the L^2-norm. As $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\Psi(t)$ then extends to a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $\psi(t)$ be the solution of (66)-(67). By (65) and the Leibniz rule we get

$$(L\psi)^{\cdot} = \dot{L}\psi + L\dot{\psi} = [A, L]\psi + LA\psi = A(L\psi)\,. \tag{69}$$

Hence, $L(t)\psi(t)$ is a solution of (66) with initial data $L(0)\psi_0$. The latter result together with the uniqueness of the solution of (66) with the initial data $L(0)\psi_0$ imply that

$$\Psi(t)L(0)\psi_0 = L(t)\Psi(t)\psi_0 \quad \forall\ \psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})\,. \tag{70}$$

Since, by Sears' theorem, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in the domains of $L(0)$ and $L(t)$ with respect to the graph norms, it follows from (68) that the identity (70) holds for any ψ_0 in the domain of $L(0)$. Together with the property that $\Psi(t)$ is unitary, this establishes the claimed unitary equivalence of the operators $L(0)$ and $L(t)$. □

Impedance operator: Here we prove that the spectrum of the impedance operator

$$T(t) := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2r(t,x)\,\frac{d}{dx}$$

---

[2] In fact, this solution lies in $C^\infty(I, \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}))$.

where $r(t,x)$ is a solution of the mKdV equation in $\mathcal{O}_\beta(I\times\mathbb{R})$ with $\beta\le 1/2$ and $I=(a,b)$, $-\infty\le a<b\le+\infty$, is invariant. For any given $t\in(a,b)$ the operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}-2r(t,x)\,\frac{d}{dx}$ with domain $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is essentially self-adjoint in the weighted L^2-space $L^2(\mathbb{R},\rho^2dx)$ with the density function $\rho(t,x)^2:=e^{2\int_0^x r(t,s)\,ds}$ (see below). We denote by $T(t)$ the closure of this operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R},\rho^2dx)$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $r\in\mathcal{O}_\beta(I\times\mathbb{R})$ with $\beta\le 1/2$ be a solution of the mKdV equation. Then for any $t,t'\in I$*

$$\mathtt{spec}\,T(t)=\mathtt{spec}\,T(t')\,.$$

Moreover, if $\beta<1/2$ or $r\in o_\beta(I\times\mathbb{R})$ with $\beta\le 1/2$, then the operators $T(t)$ and $T(t')$ are unitarily equivalent.

To prove Theorem 5.3 we first need to establish the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $r\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $q(x)=r'+r^2$, and $\rho(x)=e^{\int_0^x r(s)\,ds}$.*

(a) The map

$$\Phi_\rho : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})\to C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}),\quad u(x)\mapsto\rho(x)\,u(x)$$

extends to an isometry $L^2(\mathbb{R},\rho^2dx)\to L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

(b) The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L^2(\mathbb{R},\rho^2dx) \arrow[r, phantom, "\supseteq"] & C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \arrow[r, "T_r"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_\rho"] & C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \arrow[r, phantom, "\subseteq"] \arrow[d, "\Phi_\rho"] & L^2(\mathbb{R},\rho^2dx) \\
L^2(\mathbb{R}) \arrow[r, phantom, "\supseteq"] & C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \arrow[r, "L_q"] & C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \arrow[r, phantom, "\subseteq"] & L^2(\mathbb{R})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $T_r=-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}-2r\,\frac{d}{dx}$ and $L_q=-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+q$, is commutative.

Proof of Lemma 5.4. (a) is obvious. To prove (b) use the relation $\rho'/\rho=r$ to get for any $u\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

$$T_r(u)=-(\rho^2\,u')'/\rho^2\,.$$

Hence, for any $w\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned}T_r\circ\Phi_\rho^{-1}(w)&=T_r(w/\rho)\\&=-(w''\rho-w\rho'')/\rho^2\\&=(-w''+(\rho''/\rho)\,w)/\rho\\&=\Phi_\rho^{-1}\circ L_q(w)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\frac{\rho''}{\rho}=\left(\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right)'+\left(\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right)^2$. □

Assume that $r\in\mathcal{O}_\beta(\mathbb{R})$ with $\beta\le 1/2$. Then $q=r'+r^2\in\mathcal{O}_\delta(\mathbb{R})$ with $\delta\le 1$. As, by Sears' theorem, the Schrödinger operator L_q is essentially self-adjoint, the impedance operator T_r is essentially self-adjoint by Lemma 5.4. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 5.4 that the closures of both operators are unitarily equivalent. In particular,

$$\texttt{spec}\, T_r = \texttt{spec}\, L_q\,. \tag{71}$$

Proof of Theorem 5.3. The statement of the theorem follows from the unitary equivalence of L_q and T_r, Theorem 1.6, and the fact that the Miura map $r \mapsto r_x + r^2$ maps smooth solutions of mKdV to smooth solutions of KdV. $\square$

6 Evolution of generalized eigenfunctions

In this section, we consider the family of first-order differential operators $Q_\lambda(t) = (4\lambda + 2q(t,x))\partial_x - q_x(t,x)$, where t is a real parameter in the interval $I = (a,b)$, λ is the spectral parameter and $q(t,x)$ is a solution of the KdV equation in $\mathcal{O}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta < 1$ or in $o_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta \le 1$. We then prove that for any t', t'' in I the solution operator corresponding to the family of evolution equations induced by $Q_\lambda(t)$, transforms any complete orthonormal system of generalized eigenfunctions of the operator $L(t')$ to such a system for the operator $L(t'')$ (Theorem 6.2). Moreover, in Proposition 6.6 below, we prove that the solution of the evolution equation involving the third-order differential operator $A(t)$ appearing in the classical Lax pair for KdV (see (64)), can be obtained in terms of the solutions of the one-parameter family of first-order evolution equations $\psi_t = Q_\lambda(t)\psi$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a spectral parameter.

Assume that q is a solution of KdV satisfying either $q \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta < 1$ or $q \in o_\beta(I \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\beta \le 1$ where $I = (a,b)$, $-\infty \le a < b \le +\infty$ and for convenience, $0 \in (a,b)$. Let us consider the family of the first-order evolution equations

$$\psi_t(t) = Q_\lambda(t)\psi(t) \tag{72}$$

$$\psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0 \tag{73}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter. According to Lemma A.1, for any $\psi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, the initial value problem (72)-(73) has a unique solution in $C^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, if the initial data ψ_0 lies in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ then $\psi(t) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $t \in I$, and $\psi \in C^1(I, \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}))$ (see Lemma A.3).

Consider a Hilbert-Schmidt rigging

$$\mathcal{H}_+ \subseteq \mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_-,$$

associated to the Hilbert-Schmidt operator

$$K : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad K := (-\partial_x^2 + x^2)^{-s}\,,$$

with some $s > 1/2$. Recall that $\mathcal{H}_+ := K(\mathcal{H})$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_+$ in $\mathcal{H}_+$ is defined by

$$\|Kh\|_+ = \|h\| \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H},$$

whereas $\mathcal{H}_-$ is the dual to $\mathcal{H}_+$ (see [1, Supplement 1, § 3, 4]). One has the following chain of continuous embeddings

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_+ \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_- \subseteq \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}) \tag{74}$$

where $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of tempered distributions. It follows from [1, Supplement 1, § 7] that there exists a complete orthonormal system of generalized eigenfunctions

$$\{\psi(x,m) \,|\, m \in M\} \subseteq C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{H}_- \subseteq \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}), \tag{75}$$

of $L(0)$ with generalized eigenvalues $\lambda(m)$, $m \in M$, on a measure space M with the measure μ. By definition, the functions (75) constitute a complete orthonormal system of generalized eigenfunctions if the following two properties hold (cf. [1, Supplement 1, Definition 2.4]):

(i) for any $h_+ \in \mathcal{H}_+$, the function $m \mapsto (h_+, \psi(m))$ on M belongs to $L^2(M,\mu)$;

(ii) the map $h_+ \mapsto F(h_+)$, $F(h_+)(m) := (h_+, \psi(m))$, extends to a unitary operator $\mathcal{H} \to L^2(M,\mu)$.

Here and below we use the notation $(\cdot,\cdot)$ for miscellaneous sesquilinear dualities which extend the usual L^2-inner product by continuity. So these dualities are linear with respect to the first argument and antilinear with respect to the second one. The unitary transform $F : \mathcal{H} \to L^2(M,\mu)$ is called *generalized Fourier transform* corresponding to the system (75). Note that the functions $\psi(x,m)$ are smooth in x and satisfy the relation

$$L(0)\psi(m) = \lambda(m)\psi(m) \tag{76}$$

where $L(0)$ is the differential operator $-\partial_x^2 + q(0,x)$.

Remark 6.1. *It follows from* (76) *and the construction of generalized eigenfunctions in [1, Supplement 1,§ 5] that the multiplicity of the spectrum of* $L(0)$ *is at most two. Hence we can choose* M *to be the disjoint union of two copies of* $\mathbb{R}$*,* $M = \mathbb{R} \sqcup \mathbb{R}$*, with positive finite Lebesgue-Stiltjes measure on each of the two copies.*

Theorem 6.2. *Denote by* $\psi(t,x,m)$ *the solution of the initial value problem* (72)-(73) *with the initial data* $\psi_0(x) = \psi(x,m)$ *and* $\lambda = \lambda(m)$*. Then for any* $t \in I$*,* $\{\psi(t,x,m) \,|\, m \in M\}$ *is a complete orthonormal system of generalized eigenfunctions of the operator* $L(t)$ *on the measure space* M *with the same measure* μ *and the same generalized eigenvalues* $\lambda(m)$*.*

To prove Theorem 6.2 we first need to consider the linear evolution equation in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$

$$\psi_t(t) = \hat{A}(t)\psi(t) \tag{77}$$

$$\psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}) \tag{78}$$

where $\hat{A}(t) : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the extension by continuity of the operator (64) from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ i.e., $\forall\ \psi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ and $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $(\hat{A}(t)\psi, \varphi) := (\psi, A^*(t)\varphi)$ where $A^*(t)$ is the formal adjoint to $A(t)$, which coincides with $-A(t)$, and the derivative $\psi_t = d\psi/dt$ is understood in the weak topology of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 6.3. *The initial value problem* (77)-(78) *has unique solution in* $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof of Lemma 6.3. Let us first prove the existence. Consider again the operator (68) extended by continuity to an isometry in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then define the curve

$$\psi : I \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}),\ t \mapsto \hat{\Psi}(t)\psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}) \tag{79}$$

where $(\hat{\Psi}(t)\chi, \varphi) := (\chi, \Psi(t)^*\varphi)$, $\forall\, \chi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$, $\forall\, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. The operator $\Psi^*(t) : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the adjoint operator of $\Psi(t) : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the L^2-scalar product and, as $\Psi(t)$ is unitary, coincides with $\Psi(t)^{-1}$. For any test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ one has

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\psi(t), \varphi) = \frac{d}{dt}(\psi_0, \Psi^*(t)\varphi) = (\psi_0, \Psi^*(t)A^*(t)\varphi) = (\hat{A}(t)\psi(t), \varphi)\,.$$

Hence, $\psi(t)$ is a solution of (77)-(78).

The uniqueness follows from Holmgren's principle and the existence of a solution $\psi \in C^1(I, \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}))$ of the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t(t) &= -A(t)^*\psi(t) = A(t)\psi(t) \\ \psi|_{t=t_0} &= \psi_0 \end{aligned}$$

for any $t_0 \in I$ ([4, Theorem 2]). □

In addition we need to consider the initial value problem in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$

$$\psi_t(t) = \hat{Q}_\lambda(t)\psi(t) \tag{80}$$

$$\psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})\,, \tag{81}$$

where $\hat{Q}_\lambda(t)$ is the extension by continuity of the differential operator $Q_\lambda(t) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ to an operator on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 6.3, one shows the following lemma.

Lemma 6.4. *The initial value problem* (80)-(81) *has unique solution in* $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$.

Finally, for the proof of Theorem 6.2 we will need the following result on unitary equivalence. Let L_1 and L_2 be self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_+ \subseteq \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_-$ be a rigging associated to a Hilbert-Schmidt operator $K : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ and let

$$\{\psi_1(m) \,|\, m \in M\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_-$$

be a complete orthonormal system of generalized eigenfunctions of the operator L_1 with generalized eigenvalues $\lambda(m)$, $m \in M$, on a measure space (M, μ).

Lemma 6.5. *Assume that the operators* L_1 *and* L_2 *are unitarily equivalent via the isometry* $\Psi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, *and define the system* $\{\psi_2(m) := \hat{\Psi}(\psi_1(m)) \,|\, m \in M\}$, *where* $(\hat{\Psi}\chi, \phi) := (\chi, \Psi^{-1}\phi)$, $\forall \chi \in \mathcal{H}_-$, $\forall \phi \in \Psi(\mathcal{H}_+)$. *Then* $\{\psi_2(m) \,|\, m \in M\}$ *is a complete orthonormal system of generalized eigenfunctions of the operator* L_2 *on the same measure space* (M, μ) *and with the same generalized eigenvalues* $\lambda(m)$, $m \in M$ *(with respect to the rigging* $\Psi(\mathcal{H}_+) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \subseteq \hat{\Psi}(\mathcal{H}_-)$*). Moreover,*

$$F_2(\phi) = F_1 \circ \Psi^{-1}(\phi) \tag{82}$$

where F_1 *and* F_2 *denote the generalized Fourier transform corresponding to the system* $\{\psi_1(m) \,|\, m \in M\}$ *and* $\{\psi_2(m) \,|\, m \in M\}$ *respectively.*

Proof of Lemma 6.5. The proof of the lemma is straightforward. Indeed, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_+$ one has a.e. on M,

$$F_2(\Psi(\varphi))(m) = (\Psi(\varphi), \psi_2(m)) = (\Psi(\varphi), \hat{\Psi}(\psi_1(m))) = (\varphi, \psi_1(m)) = F_1(\varphi)(m)\,.$$

In particular, one gets that for any $\phi \in \Psi(\mathcal{H}_+)$, (82) holds. The latter relation proves that the generalized Fourier transform $F_2 : \Psi(\mathcal{H}_+) \to L^2(M, \mu)$ can be extended by continuity from $\Psi(\mathcal{H}_+)$ to an isometry $F_2 : \mathcal{H} \to L^2(M, \mu)$ that satisfies (82) for any $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$. In particular, we get that

$$F_2^{-1} \circ \hat{\lambda} \circ F_2 = \Psi \circ (F_1^{-1} \circ \hat{\lambda} \circ F_1) \circ \Psi^{-1} = \Psi \circ L_1 \circ \Psi^{-1} = L_1$$

where $\hat{\lambda}$ is the multiplication operator by $\lambda(m)$ in $L^2(M, \mu)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 6.2.
Proof of Theorem 6.2. Assume that $\psi(t, x, m)$ is the solution of the initial value problem (72)-(73) with the initial data $\psi(x, m)$ and $\lambda = \lambda(m)$ where $\psi(x, m) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a generalized eigenfunction of $L(0)$ with the generalized eigenvalue $\lambda(m)$. Then $I \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$, $t \mapsto \psi(t, m)$, is the solution of (80) with initial data $\psi(m)$. Using the commutator relation (52) with $\lambda = \lambda(m)$ and arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.2 one shows that $L_{\lambda(m)}(t)\psi(t, m) = 0$. The latter together with the relation $A(t) = Q_\lambda(t) + 4\partial_x \circ L_\lambda(t)$ applied for $\lambda = \lambda(m)$, implies

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t, m)_t &= \hat{Q}_{\lambda(m)}(t)\psi(t, m) = (\hat{A}(t) + 4\hat{\partial}_x \circ \hat{L}_{\lambda(m)}(t))\psi(t, m) \\ &= \hat{A}(t)\psi(t, m) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\partial}_x$ and $\hat{L}_{\lambda(m)}(t)$ are the extensions by continuity of the differential operators ∂_x and $L_{\lambda(m)}(t)$ respectively. Hence, $t \mapsto \psi(t, m)$ solves (77)-(78) with initial data $\psi_0 = \psi(m)$. By Lemma 6.3 and (79), we get that

$$\psi(t, m) = \hat{\Psi}(t)(\psi(m))\,. \tag{83}$$

By the proof of statement (*ii*) of Theorem 1.6 (see Section 5), $\Psi(t) : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a unitary equivalence of the operators $L(0)$ and $L(t)$. One then concludes from (83) and Lemma 6.5 that $\{\psi(t, m) \,|\, m \in M\}$ is a complete orthonormal system of generalized eigenfunctions of $L(t)$ corresponding to the rigging of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ obtained by shifting the rigging (74) via the isometry $\Psi(t) : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$. $\square$

Denote by $F(0)$ the generalized Fourier transform corresponding to the complete orthonormal system (75), so that for any initial data $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\tilde{\psi}_0(m) := (F(0)\psi_0)(m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\psi(x,m)}\psi_0(x)\,dx. \tag{84}$$

Let $F(t)$ be the generalized Fourier transform corresponding to the system $\{\psi(t,m) \,|\, m \in M\}$.

With the notation of Theorem 6.2, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 6.6. *For any $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, the function*

$$\psi(t,x;\psi_0) := (F(t)^{-1}\tilde{\psi}_0)(x)$$

is a solution of the initial value problem (66)-(67).

Remark 6.7. *The solution obtained in Corollary 6.6 is unique in view of the discussion in the paragraph following* (66)-(67).

Proof of Corollary 6.6. As $\Psi(t) : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a unitary equivalence of the operators $L(0)$ and $L(t)$, it follows from (82) that $F(0) = F(t) \circ \Psi(t)$. The latter relation leads to

$$\psi(t;\psi_0) = F(t)^{-1} \circ F(0)\psi_0 = F(t)^{-1} \circ (F(t) \circ \Psi(t))\psi_0 = \Psi(t)\psi_0\,.$$

As $\Psi(t)$ is the evolution operator of (66), $\psi(t;\psi_0)$ solves (66)-(67). $\square$

Remark 6.8. *It follows from the construction of generalized eigenfunctions of a self-adjoint operator in [1, Supplement 1, Theorem 2.1] that there exists an isomorphism $U(t) : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(M,\mu)$ that transforms the system of generalized eigenfunctions $\{\psi(t,x,m) \,|\, m \in M\}$ into a system of delta functions $\{\chi(y,m) := \delta_m(y) \,|\, m \in M\}$ on M. (The delta function δ_m is defined for almost every $m \in M$, and $\delta_m \in (F(0)(\mathcal{H}_+))'$ (see below).) The generalized Fourier transform $\tilde{\psi}_0$ of the initial data ψ_0 belongs to the space $F(0)(\mathcal{H}_+)$ that corresponds to the Hilbert-Schmidt rigging $F(0)(\mathcal{H}_+) \subseteq L^2(M,\mu) \subseteq (F(0)(\mathcal{H}_+))'$. Arguing as in the proof of [1, Supplement 1, Theorem 2.1], one sees that the system $\{\chi(y,m) \,|\, m \in M\}$ is contained in the dual space to $F(0)(\mathcal{H}_+)$. Moreover, a trivial computation in the 'model space' $L^2(M,\mu)$ leads to the relations* (84) *and*

$$(F(t)^{-1}\tilde{\psi}_0)(x) = \int_M \psi(t,x,m)\,\tilde{\psi}_0(m)\,d\mu(m),$$

where the integral makes sense as a continuous extension of the L^2 scalar product on (M,μ).

Remark 6.9. *Corollary 6.6 shows that the solution of the evolution equation* (66) *involving the third-order differential operator* $A(t)$ *(see* (64)*), can be obtained in terms of the solution of the evolution equation* (72)-(73) *involving the first-order differential operator* $Q_\lambda(t) = (4\lambda + 2q(t,x))\partial_x - q_x(t,x)$ *with parameter* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$*, provided we know the spectral decomposition of the operator* $L(0)$*.*

Remark 6.10. *There is another way to understand what* $\psi(x,m)$ *is. We will assume that* $M = \mathbb{R} \sqcup \mathbb{R}$*, a disjoint union of two lines* $\mathbb{R}$*, is a measure space with positive finite Stiltjes measures on the lines (which is enough for us). Then the Schwartz space* $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R} \times M)$ *is well defined, and so is* $\mathcal{S}'$*. Also the Schwartz kernel theorem works as well, and it implies that every continuous linear operator from* $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ *to* $\mathcal{S}'(M)$ *or from* $\mathcal{S}(M)$ *to* $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ *(where* $\mathcal{S}'$ *in both cases should be considered with the weak topology), can be uniquely presented by a Schwartz kernel from* $\mathcal{S}'(M \times \mathbb{R})$ *or* $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R} \times M)$ *respectievely. In particular this is true for all bounded linear operators from* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *to* $L^2(M)$ *or back.*

Vice versa, any distribution from $\mathcal{S}'(M \times \mathbb{R})$ *(or* $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R} \times M)$*) naturally defines a continuous linear operator from* $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ *to* $\mathcal{S}'(M)$ *(or* $\mathcal{S}(M)$ *to* $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ *respectively).*

Now it is easy to see that $\psi = \psi(x,m)$ *is a tempered distribution which is the Schwartz kernel for the unitary operator* F *(the generalized Fourier transform) from* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *to* $L^2(M)$*, and* $\overline{\psi(x,m)}$ *is the Schwartz kernel for* F^{-1}*.*

A Appendix: Global solutions of a linear first-order PDE

In this appendix we state and prove, for the convenience of the reader, a result on the first-order linear PDE, used in the main body of the paper,

$$u_t(t,x) = a(t,x)u_x(t,x) + b(t,x)u(t,x) \tag{85}$$

$$u|_{t=0} = \psi(x) \tag{86}$$

where $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $a, b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$, and a grows for $x \to \pm\infty$ at most linearly. In addition, we prove three technical lemmas used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma A.1. *Assume that for any* $T > 0$ *there exists a constant* $C_T > 0$ *such that for any* $|x| \geq 1$

$$|a(t,x)| \leq C_T|x| \tag{87}$$

uniformly for $t \in [-T, T]$*. Then*

- *(a) for any initial datum* $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *there exists a unique global (in time) solution* $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$*;*
- *(b) if* $\psi(x) > 0$ $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ *then* $u(t,x) > 0$ $\forall t, x \in \mathbb{R}$*.*

Proof. Clearly, the equation (85) can be rewritten in the form

$$X(u) = bu \tag{88}$$

where $X := \partial_t - a\partial_x$. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{x} = -a(t,x), \tag{89}$$

$$x|_{t=0} = x_0. \tag{90}$$

It follows from (87) that if a solution $x(t,x_0)$ of (89)-(90) is defined on the interval $t \in (-T,T)$ for some $0 < T < \infty$ then it satisfies the a priory estimate

$$\sup_{|t|<T} |x(t,x_0)| < (1+|x_0|)\, e^{C_T T}.$$

In particular, the latter estimate implies that for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique global (in time) solution $x(t,x_0)$ of (89)-(90). To prove uniqueness of a solution of (85)-(86), assume that $u = u(t,x)$ is a smooth solution. It follows from (88) that for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, the function $v(t) := u(t,x(t))$ with $x(t) := x(t,x_0)$ satisfies the differential equation $\dot{v}(t) = b(t,x(t))v(t)$, hence

$$u(t,x(t)) = \psi(x_0) e^{\int_0^t b(s,x(s))\, ds}. \tag{91}$$

As for any given $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the transformation $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, x_0 \mapsto x(t,x_0)$, is a diffeomorphism, formula (91) defines $u(t,x)$ uniquely. At the same time, (91) defines a smooth global in time solution of (85)-(86). This proves claim (a). Claim (b) also follows from (91). □

Remark A.2. *Let $a(t,x) = |x|^\alpha$ for $|x| \geq 1$, where $\alpha > 1$. Then solutions of (89) can blow up in finite time. Moreover, one can show that a solution of* (85)-(86) *is not necessarily unique. This shows that assumption (87) is essential claim* (a) *to be true.*

In the remainder of this appendix, we prove, as advertised, three technical lemmas used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 6.2. As above, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ of Schwartz class.

Lemma A.3. *Assume that $q(t,x) \in \mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\delta < 1$. Then the initial value problem (50)-(51) with $\eta \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ and $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ has a solution in $\mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ which is unique in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. The initial value problem (50)-(51) can be rewritten as

$$X(s) = \eta \tag{92}$$

$$s|_{t=0} = s_0 \tag{93}$$

where $X(t,x) := \partial_t - 2q(t,x)\partial_x$ and $X(s)$ denotes the derivative of s with respect to the flow of the vector field X. Denote by $\xi(t;t_0,x_0)$ the solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{x} = -2q(t,x), \tag{94}$$

$$x|_{t=t_0} = x_0. \tag{95}$$

If $t_0 = 0$ we denote the corresponding solution $\xi(t;0,x_0)$ by $\xi(t,x_0)$. As $q \in \mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ and $\delta < 1$ it follows that for any $0 < T < \infty$ there exists $C_T > 0$ such that for any $|x| \geq 1$ and $t \in [-T,T]$

$$|q(t,x)| \leq C_T|x| \ . \tag{96}$$

In particular, (96) implies that the solution $\xi(t;t_0,x_0)$ is defined for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. As $q(t,x)$ is C^∞-smooth in (t,x), the solution $\xi(t;t_0,x_0)$ is unique and depends smoothly on the initial data (t_0,x_0). Moreover, for any given $t_0, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq t_0$, the transformation $x_0 \mapsto \xi(t;t_0,x_0)$, $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, is a diffeomorphism. Let $s(t,x)$ be a smooth solution of (92)-(93). Then the function $s(t) := s(t,\xi(t,x_0))$ satisfies the differential equation $\dot{s} = \eta(t,\xi(t,x_0))$. In particular,

$$s(t,\xi(t,x_0)) = s_0(x_0) + \int_0^t \eta(\tau,\xi(\tau,x_0))\,d\tau. \tag{97}$$

Hence, the smooth solution $s(t,x)$ of (92)-(93) is defined uniquely by the right side of (97). Equation (97) can be rewritten in the form

$$s(t,x) = s_0(\xi(0;t,x)) + \int_0^t \eta(\tau,\xi(\tau;t,x))\,d\tau \ . \tag{98}$$

Using that $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $\eta \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ together with (98) and Lemma A.4 (a) stated below one easily gets that for any $0 < T < \infty$ and for any $k \geq 0$ there exists a constant $C_{T,k} > 0$ such that for any $t \in [-T,T]$ and any x with $|x| \geq 1$

$$|s(t,x)| \leq C_{T,k}|x|^{-k} \ .$$

Differentiating equation (98) with respect to t and x, we obtain that for any $k, l \geq 0$, the partial derivative $\partial_t^k\partial_k^l s(t,x)$ is a finite sum

$$\partial_t^k\partial_k^l s(t,x) = \sum_j S_j(t,x),$$

where the terms $S_j(t,x)$, with the help of Lemma A.4 below, can be shown to be of the form $S_j(t,x) = P_j(t,x)Q_j(t,x)$ with $P_j \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ and Q_j growing at most polynomially in x uniformly on compact sets of t. In particular, we get that the solution $s(t,x)$ of the initial value problem (50)-(51) lies in $\mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$. The uniqueness of the solution follows from the same arguments as in in the proof of Lemma A.1. ☐

The following lemma is used in the proof of Lemma A.3. We use the same notation as in the proof of this lemma.

Lemma A.4. *Assume that $q(t,x) \in \mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ with $\delta < 1$. Then the following statements hold:*

(a) For any $0 < T < \infty$ there exist constants $C_1 = C_1(T)$, $C_2 = C_2(T)$, $0 < C_1 < C_2$, and $N = N(T) > 0$ such that for any $t, t' \in [-T, T]$ and x with $|x| \geq N$

$$C_1|x| \leq |\xi(t;t',x)| \leq C_2|x| \ . \tag{99}$$

(b) For any $0 < T < \infty$ and for any $k, l, m \geq 0$ with $k + l \geq 1$, there exists a constant $C_{T,k,l,m} > 0$ such that for any $t, t' \in [-T, T]$ and x with $|x| \geq 1$

$$|\partial_t^k \partial_{t'}^l \partial_x^m \xi(t;t',x)| \leq C_{T,k,l,m}|x|^{\delta-m} \ . \tag{100}$$

(c) For any $0 < T < \infty$ and for any $m \geq 0$ there exists a constant $C_{T,m} > 0$ such that for any $t, t' \in [-T, T]$ and x with $|x| \geq 1$

$$|\partial_x^m \xi(t;t',x)| \leq C_{T,m}|x|^{1-m} \ . \tag{101}$$

Proof. Let $R(t,x) := -2q(t,x)$. Clearly,

$$R \in \mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}), \ \ \delta < 1. \tag{102}$$

(a) As $R(t,x)$ satisfies for any given $0 < T < \infty$ the growth condition (96) for $x \geq 1$ and $|t| \leq T$ with some constant $C_T > 0$, the solution $\xi(t;t',x)$ (defined globally in time) of the ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{\xi} = R(t,\xi) \tag{103}$$

$$\xi|_{t=t'} = x \tag{104}$$

satisfies for any $x \geq 1$ and $t, t' \in [-T, T]$,

$$-C_T \leq \dot{\xi}/\xi \leq C_T$$

or

$$xe^{-C_T|t-t'|} \leq \xi(t;t',x) \leq xe^{C_T|t-t'|}.$$

Hence, for any $x \geq N := e^{2C_T T}$ and $t, t' \in [-T, T]$ one has

$$xe^{-2C_T T} \leq \xi(t;t',x) \leq xe^{2C_T T}.$$

Similarly one argues for $x \leq -N$ to conclude, altogether, that

$$e^{-2C_T T}|x| \leq |\xi(t;t',x)| \leq e^{2C_T T}|x|$$

for any $t, t' \in [-T, T]$ and any $|x| \geq N$.

(b) First define a class of continuous functions $\mathcal{B}^\delta \equiv \mathcal{B}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By definition, a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is an element in $\mathcal{B}^\delta(\mathbb{R}^3)$ iff for any $0 < T < \infty$ there exists a constant $C_T > 0$ such that for any $t, t' \in [-T, T]$ and $|x| \geq 1$

$$|f(t,t',x)| \leq C_T|x|^\delta.$$

We start by proving that for any $k, l, m \geq 0$ with $k + l \geq 1$ the function $\partial_t^k \partial_{t'}^l \partial_x^m \xi(t; t', x)$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}^\delta$. For this purpose it is convenient to consider instead of (103)-(104) the ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{y} = R(t + t', y) \tag{105}$$

$$y|_{t=0} = x \tag{106}$$

where we consider $t' \in \mathbb{R}$ as a parameter. Clearly,

$$y(t; t', x) = \xi(t + t'; t', x) \tag{107}$$

where $\xi(t; t', x)$ is the solution of (103)-(104). Hence $\partial_t^k \partial_{t'}^l \partial_x^m \xi(t; t', x) \in \mathcal{B}^\delta$ if and only if

$$\partial_t^k \partial_{t'}^l \partial_x^m y(t; t', x) \in \mathcal{B}^\delta. \tag{108}$$

We will prove (108). As, by assumption, $R \in \mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$, the equation (105) together with the lower and upper bounds in (99) imply that $y_t(t; t', x) \in \mathcal{B}^\delta$.

Differentiating (105)-(106) with respect to x, we obtain that $y_x(t; t', x)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$(y_x)_t = R_x(t + t', y) y_x \ , \tag{109}$$

$$y_x|_{t=0} = 1 \tag{110}$$

hence,

$$y_x(t; t', x) = e^{\int_0^t R_x(\tau + t', y(\tau; t', x)) \, d\tau} \ . \tag{111}$$

As $R_x \in \mathcal{S}_{\delta-1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\delta - 1 < 0$ we get from claim (a) that for any $0 < T < \infty$ there exists a constant $C_T > 0$ such that $\forall t, t' \in [-T, T]$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ one has that

$$|y_x(t; t', x)| \leq C_T \ . \tag{112}$$

Analogously, differentiating (105)-(106) with respect to the variable t' one gets

$$(y_{t'})_t = R_x(t + t', y) y_{t'} + R_t(t + t', y) \ , \tag{113}$$

$$y_{t'}|_{t=0} = 0 \ . \tag{114}$$

By the method of the variation of parameters, one obtains that

$$y_{t'}(t; t', x) = \Big(\int_0^t b(\tau) e^{-\int_0^\tau a(u) \, du} \, d\tau \Big) e^{\int_0^t a(u) \, du} \tag{115}$$

where $a(t) = a(t, t', x) := R_x(t + t', y)$ and $b(t) = b(t, t', x) := R_t(t + t', y)$. As $R_x \in \mathcal{S}_{\delta-1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ and $R_t \in \mathcal{S}_\delta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ we get that $a \in \mathcal{B}^{\delta-1}$, $b \in \mathcal{B}^\delta$. Using (115) and $\delta - 1 < 0$ one concludes that $y_{t'} \in \mathcal{B}^\delta$. Differentiating successively (105)-(106) with respect to the variables t' and x one obtains an equation of the form

$$(\partial_{t'}^l \partial_x^m y)_t = R_x(t + t', y)(\partial_{t'}^l \partial_x^m y) + B(t, t', x)$$

where the inhomogeneous term B is an element in $\mathcal{B}^{\delta - m}$. Hence, arguing as above, one concludes that $\partial_{t'}^l \partial_x^m y(t; t', x) \in \mathcal{B}^{\delta - m}$.

In order to prove that

$$\partial_t^k(\partial_{t'}^l \partial_x^m y(t;t',x)) \in \mathcal{B}^{\delta-m} \tag{116}$$

for any $k \geq 0$ we use induction in k. By the considerations from above (116) holds for $k = 0$. Assume that $k \geq 1$ and $\partial_t^j \partial_{t'}^l \partial_x^m y \in \mathcal{B}^{\delta-m}$ for $0 \leq j \leq k-1$. Differentiating equation (105) with respect to t', x, and t we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_t^{k-1}\partial_{t'}^l \partial_x^m y)_t &= \partial_t^{k-1}\partial_{t'}^l \partial_x^m (R(t+t',y))\\ &= R_x(t+t',y)(\partial_t^{k-1}\partial_{t'}^l \partial_x^m y) + B(t,t',x).\end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

Using (99) once again together with the induction hypothesis one proves that the inhomogeneous term B is in $\mathcal{B}^{\delta-m}$. As $R_x \in \mathcal{B}^{\delta-1}$ with $\delta - 1 < 0$ and $\partial_t^{k-1}\partial_{t'}^l \partial_x^m y \in \mathcal{B}^{\delta-m}$ by induction hypothesis, formula (117) implies (116).

(c) Statement (c) follows from (99) (for $m = 0$), (112) (for $m = 1$) and then by differentiating (111) and using that $R_{xx} \in \mathcal{S}_{\delta-2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ (for $m \geq 2$). $\square$

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a finite or infinite open interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma A.3, one proves the following lemma.

Lemma A.5. *Assume that* $a \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(I\times\mathbb{R})$ *and* $b \in \mathcal{O}_{\beta-1}(I\times\mathbb{R})$ *with* $\beta \leq 1$. *Then the initial value problem* (85)-(86) *with* $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ *has a solution* $u \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}(I\times\mathbb{R})$ *that is unique in* $C^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R})$. *In particular,* $u \in C^1(I,\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}))$.

B Appendix: Synopsis of some results on KdV

For the convenience of the reader, we state in this appendix the results on the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the KdV equation

$$q_t - 6qq_x + q_{xxx} = 0 \tag{118}$$

$$q|_{t=0} = q_0 \tag{119}$$

proved in [2, 3, 4, 5] which we use in the main body of the paper.

Following earlier work of Menikoff [18], the authors of [3, 2] prove (among other things) the following theorem:

Theorem B.1. *For any* $\beta < 1$ *and for any initial data* $q_0 \in \mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R})$ *there exists a global (in time) solution* $q \in \mathcal{S}_\beta(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ *of the initial value problem (118)-(119).*

Completing results of Menikoff [18] the following uniqueness theorem is proved in [4], by use of a version of Holmgren's principle.

Theorem B.2. *For any* $T > 0$, *there is at most one solution of (118)-(119) in the classes of functions* $q \in C^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$ *such that*

$$q(t,x) = o(|x|) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_x^k q(t,x) = O(1) \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

uniformly in $t \in [0,T]$.

In [5] the following theorems are proved:

Theorem B.3. *For any $\beta < 1$ and for any initial data $q_0 \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a global in time solution $q \in \mathcal{O}_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ of the initial value problem (118)-(119).*

Theorem B.4. *For any $\beta \leq 1$ and for any initial data $q_0 \in o_\beta(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a global in time solution $q \in o_\beta(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ of the initial value problem (118)-(119).*

Remark B.5. *According to Theorem B.2 the solutions in Theorem B.1, B.3, and B.4 are unique in the corresponding classes.*

Remark B.6. *It is likely that the methods developed in [2]-[5] can be used to prove Theorem 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3. However, the proofs will be much more difficult than the ones presented in this paper.*

References

[1] F. Berezin, M. Shubin, *The Schrödinger Equation*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991

[2] I. Bondareva, *The Korteweg-de Vries equation in classes of increasing functions with prescribed asymptotics as $|x| \to \infty$¥*, Math. USSR Sbornik, **50**(1985), no. 1, 125-135

[3] I. Bondareva, M. Shubin, *Increasing asymptotic solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation and its higher analogues*, Soviet Math. Dokl., **26**(1982), no. 3, 716-719

[4] I. Bondareva, M. Shubin, *Uniqueness of the solutions of the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in classes of increasing functions*, Moscow University Mathematics Bulletin, **40**(1985), no. 3, 53-57

[5] I. Bondareva, M. Shubin, *Equations of Korteweg - de Vries type in classes of increasing functions*, Journal of Soviet Mathematics, **51**(1990), no. 3, 2323-2332

[6] B. Dubrovin, *On Hamiltonian perturbations of hyperbolic systems of conservation laws, II: universality of critical behavior*, to appear in Comm. in Math. Phys., arXiv:math-ph/0510032 v2

[7] F. Gesztesy, W. Schweiger, B. Simon, *Commutation methods applied to the mKdV-equation*, Trans. of AMS, **324**(2), 1991, 465-525

[8] F. Gesztesy. B. Simon, *Constructing solutions of the mKdV - equation*, J. Funct. Anal., **89**(1990), 53-60

[9] P. G. Grinevich, R. G. Novikov. *Analysis of multisoliton potentials for the two-dimensional Schrödinger equation, and a nonlocal Riemann problem*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **33** (1986), 9-12.

[10] P. G. Grinevich, R. G. Novikov. *Transparent potentials at fixed energy in dimension two. Fixed-energy dispersion relations for the fast decaying potentials*, Comm. Math. Phys. **174** (1995), 409-446.

[11] T. Kappeler, P. Perry, M. Shubin, P. Topalov, *The Miura map on the line*, IMRN, **2005** : **50**(2005), 3091-3133

[12] T. Kappeler, P. Topalov, *Global well-posedness of mKdV in* $L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, Commun. in PDE, **30**(2005), 435-449

[13] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, *Global solutions for the KdV equation with unbounded data*, J. Diff. Equ., **139**(1977), 339-364

[14] P. Lax, *Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves*, Comm. Pure App. Math., **21**(1968), 467-490

[15] P. Lax, *Periodic solutions of the KdV equation*, in *Nonlinear Wave Equations*, ed. A. C. Newall, Proc. of the Summer Seminar, Potsdam, N.Y., 1972. Lectures in Appl. Math. vol. 15 (1974), 83-96.

[16] S. V. Manakov. *The inverse scattering method and two-dimensional evolution equations*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **31** (1976), 245-256 (Russian).

[17] V. Marchenko, *Sturm-Liouville operators and applications*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 22. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1986

[18] A. Menikoff, *The existence of unbounded solutions of the Korteweg - de Vries equation*, Commun. Pure and Appl. Math., **25**(1972), 407-432

[19] R. Miura, *Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations. I. A remarkable explicit nonlinear transformation*, J. Math. Phys., **9**(1968), 1202-1204

[20] S. P. Novikov, A. P. Veselov. *Finite-zone, two-dimensional potential Schrödinger operators. Explicit formula and evolution equations.* Sov. Math. Doklady **30** (1984), 588-591.

[21] M. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1987; Second Edition 2001